

United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places  
Continuation Sheet

Section number all Page 10

TEXAS HISTORIC SITES INVENTORY FORM — TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION (rev.8-82)

1. County COLLIN COD 5. USGS Quad No. 3396-214 Site No. 1205  
 City/Rural MCKINNEY MK UTM Sector 721-3676
2. Name FOOTE-CROUCH HOUSE 6. Date: Factual 1881-82 Est. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address 401 N BERGE 7. Architect/Builder Unknown  
(also 402 W. Lamar) Contractor \_\_\_\_\_
3. Owner W.C. & Violet J. Beatty 8. Style/Type I house w/ minor Gothic Revival infl.  
 Address Same, 75069 9. Original Use residential
4. Block/Lot OTS/Blk 72 - all Present Use residential
10. Description Two-story frame dwelling w/ central-passage plan; weatherboard siding; gable roof w/ asbestos shingles and box eaves; wood-sash double-hung windows w/ 2/2 lights; single-door primary entrance w/ transom; 8-bay porch w/ shed roof extends to S. & E. elev.; chamfered-wood posts; squared wood balusters;
11. Present Condition good; minor alterations
12. Significance ARCHITECTURE: This was originally an I house but modern rear additions have changed somewhat the residence's configuration. The steeply pitched dormers indicate a stylistic influence of the Gothic Revival mode.
13. Relationship to Site: Moved Date \_\_\_\_\_ or Original Site \*(describe) in a residential area northwest of the CBD; located at the northwest corner of Berge and Lamar sts.
14. Bibliography Tax Rolls, Sanborn Maps 15. Informant \_\_\_\_\_  
City Directories; Stambaugh p.161-162 16. Recorder Hardy-Heck-Moore Date July 1985

DESIGNATIONS

PHOTO DATA

TNRIS No. \_\_\_\_\_ Old THC Code \_\_\_\_\_  
 RTHL  HABS (no.) TEX \_\_\_\_\_  
 NR:  \*Individual  Historic District  
 Thematic  Multiple-Resource  
 NR File Name McKinney M-R Nomination  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_

B&W 4x5s \_\_\_\_\_ Slides 5  
 35mm Negs

| YEAR | DRWR | ROLL | FRME |    | ROLL | FRME |
|------|------|------|------|----|------|------|
|      |      | 32   | 24   | to |      |      |
|      |      | 52   | 35   | to | 52   | 36   |
|      |      | 53   | 1    | to | 53   | 4    |

CONTINUATION PAGE

No. 2 of 2

TEXAS HISTORIC SITES INVENTORY FORM — TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION (rev.8-82)

1. County COLLIN COD 5. USGS Quad No. 3396-214 Site No. 1205  
 City/Rural MCKINNEY MK UTM Pt. 14/722100/3675180
2. Name FOOTE-CROUCH HOUSE Acreage Less than one acre

Description continued: pedimented window and door surrounds; porch is L-shaped; 3 steeply pitched gables extend on facade; central gable is steeper than the outer 2 gables;

Significance continued: Although many similarly styled houses of the same vintage still stand in McKinney, this is among the best examples. It was built in 1881-82 for Edwin Foote, son of McKinney pioneer, community and business leader, G.A. Foote. In 1896 it was sold to L.W. Couch, coffin and furniture maker. Bibliography continued: Architecture Heritage, p. 57.

87001708

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES  
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Foote--Crouch House (McKinney MPS)  
 Collin County  
 TEXAS

*Substantive Review*

AUG 24 1987

Working No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Fed. Reg. Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Due: 9/25/87 - 10/8/87  
Action: ACCEPT  
RETURN 10-8-87  
REJECT  
Federal Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Substantive Review:  sample     request     appeal     NR decision

Reviewer's comments: *Although modern rear additions have changed the original configuration of this I-plan house, the nomination contains neither discussion of the alterations nor photographs which document the changes. Please discuss the impact of the alterations in further detail and submit photographs, if possible. It is a bit contradictory to state "Although many similarly styled houses of this same vintage still stand in McKinney, this is among*

Recom./Criteria Return  
Reviewer Noble  
Discipline Historian  
Date 10/8/87  
\_\_\_\_\_ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: \_\_\_\_\_ technical corrections cited below  
 substantive reasons discussed below *the best examples." The alterations would indicate that perhaps this is not the best example and further underscores the point that the alterations must be analyzed in greater detail before this property can be listed on the National Register.*

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

|          |                    |            |             |
|----------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| Category | Ownership          | Status     | Present Use |
|          | Public Acquisition | Accessible |             |

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

Has this property been determined eligible?     yes     no

7. Description

|                                    |                                       |                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Condition                          |                                       | Check one                          | Check one                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> deteriorated | <input type="checkbox"/> unaltered | <input type="checkbox"/> original site       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> good      | <input type="checkbox"/> ruins        | <input type="checkbox"/> altered   | <input type="checkbox"/> moved    date _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fair      | <input type="checkbox"/> unexposed    |                                    |  |

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection

**8. Significance**

Period \_\_\_\_\_ Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates \_\_\_\_\_ Builder/Architect \_\_\_\_\_  
Statement of Significance (*in one paragraph*)

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- applicable criteria
- justification of areas checked
- relating significance to the resource
- context
- relationship of integrity to significance
- justification of exception
- other

**9. Major Bibliographical References**

**10. Geographical Data**

Acreage of nominated property \_\_\_\_\_  
Quadrangle name \_\_\_\_\_  
UTM References \_\_\_\_\_

Verbal boundary description and justification \_\_\_\_\_

**11. Form Prepared By**

**12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification**

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

\_\_\_\_ national      \_\_\_\_ state      \_\_\_\_ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature

title \_\_\_\_\_ date \_\_\_\_\_

**13. Other**

- Maps
- Photographs
- Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to Bruce Noble 202-343-9547

Signed Patrick Andrews Date 10/8/87 Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places  
Continuation Sheet

MAY 13 1988

Section number all Page 10A

---

The Foote-Crouch House  
401 N. Benge  
McKinney, Collin County, Texas  
McKinney, Multiple-Property Nomination

The Foote-Crouch House is located at the northwest corner of N. Benge and W. Lamar streets. The photograph submitted with the original nomination shows the structure's appearance from this intersection. As is obvious from this print, the more recent additions are obscured from public view. The additions are limited solely to the rear (west) and north elevations, thus minimizing their impact on the dwelling's historic character. Furthermore, as evidenced by Sanborn insurance maps, most of the additions (specifically, the one- and two-story rear ells and the extension of the porch) are well over 50 years old and have gained significance in their own right. They reflect detailing remarkably similar to that of the original structure and represent an important component in the building's architectural evolution. The only obtrusive, non-historic addition is a one-story wing that extends along the north end of the house. This addition is obscured from public view by vegetation and, therefore, detracts little from the historic integrity of the house. Despite this addition, the structure is among the oldest extant dwellings in McKinney and retains its historic character to a remarkable degree. It remains a noteworthy local example of an I house.

United States Department of the Interior  
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places  
Continuation Sheet

Section number \_\_\_\_\_ Page \_\_\_\_\_

Multiple Resource Area  
Thematic Group

Name McKinney MPS  
State Collin County, TEXAS

Nomination/Type of Review \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Signature \_\_\_\_\_

11. Crouch-Perkins House **Entered in the National Register** Keeper Alma Byers 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

12. Davis, H.L., House **Administrative Review** Keeper Patrick Andrews 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

13. Davis-Hill House **Entered in the National Register** Keeper Alma Byers 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

14. Dowell, J.S., House **Administrative Review** Keeper Patrick Andrews 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

15. Dulaney, Joe E., House **Entered in the National Register** Keeper Alma Byers 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

16. Dulaney, Joseph Field, House **Administrative Review** Keeper Patrick Andrews 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

17. Faires, F.C., House **Entered in the National Register** Keeper Alma Byers 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

18. Faires-Bell House **Administrative Review** Keeper Patrick Andrews 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

19. Ferguson, John H., House **Entered in the National Register** Keeper Alma Byers 10/8/87  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

✓ 20. Foote-Crouch House **Administrative Review** Keeper ret Patrick Andrews 6/27/88  
Attest \_\_\_\_\_

87001708

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES  
EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Foote--Crouch House  
(McKinney MPS)  
Collin County  
TEXAS

Substantive Review

Working No. AUG 24 1987  
Fed. Reg. Date: 2/7/89  
Date Due: 6/27/88  
Action:  ACCEPT 6-27-88  
 RETURN  
 REJECT  
Federal Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

- resubmission
- nomination by person or local government
- owner objection
- appeal

Substantive Review:  sample  request  appeal  NR decision

Reviewer's comments: *The Foote-Crouch House is architecturally significant as one of the oldest extant dwellings in McKinney and also as a noteworthy local example of the I house style. The nomination was previously returned because of a lack of photo documentation and discussion of alterations, but the resubmission adequately addresses these concerns.*

Recom./Criteria Accept - C  
Reviewer Noble  
Discipline Historian  
Date 6/27/88  
\_\_\_\_\_ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: \_\_\_\_\_ technical corrections cited below  
\_\_\_\_\_ substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category \_\_\_\_\_ Ownership \_\_\_\_\_ Status \_\_\_\_\_ Present Use \_\_\_\_\_  
Public Acquisition Accessible

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

Has this property been determined eligible?  yes  no

7. Description

Condition  excellent  good  fair  deteriorated  ruins  unexposed  unaltered  altered  original site  moved date \_\_\_\_\_

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- alterations/integrity
- dates
- boundary selection

**8. Significance**

Period \_\_\_\_\_ Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates \_\_\_\_\_ Builder/Architect \_\_\_\_\_  
Statement of Significance (*in one paragraph*)

- summary paragraph
- completeness
- clarity
- applicable criteria
- justification of areas checked
- relating significance to the resource
- context
- relationship of integrity to significance
- justification of exception
- other

**9. Major Bibliographical References**

**10. Geographical Data**

Acreage of nominated property \_\_\_\_\_  
Quadrangle name \_\_\_\_\_  
UTM References \_\_\_\_\_

Verbal boundary description and justification \_\_\_\_\_

**11. Form Prepared By**

**12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification**

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

\_\_\_\_ national      \_\_\_\_ state      \_\_\_\_ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature \_\_\_\_\_

title \_\_\_\_\_ date \_\_\_\_\_

**13. Other**

- Maps
- Photographs
- Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to \_\_\_\_\_

Signed \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_





"Historic Resources of McKinney, Texas" Multiple-  
Resource Nomination; city limits of McKinney,  
Collin County, Texas.

Photo: Hardy-Heck-Moore, Inc.; 1985; negative  
with Texas Historical Commission, Austin.

Subject: Foote-Crouch House, Site No. 1205  
401 N. Bengé

View: Camera looking northwest

Photo 2A of 83



Historic Resources of McKinney, Texas Multiple-  
Resource Nomination; city limits of McKinney,  
Collin County, Texas.

Photo: Hardy-Heck-Moore, Inc.; 1985; negative  
with Texas Historical Commission, Austin.

Subject: Foote-Crouch House, Site No. 1205  
401 N. Benge

View: Camera looking southwest  
Photo 2B of 83



Historic Resources of McKinney, Texas Multiple-  
Resource Nomination; city limits of McKinney,  
Collin County, Texas.

Photo: Hardy-Heck-Moore, Inc.; 1985; negative  
with Texas Historical Commission, Austin.

Subject: Foote-Crouch House, Site No. 1205  
401 N. Bengé

View: Camera looking north

Photo 2C of 83